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**ABSTRACT**

**Mixed Religious Marriages: Weighting the Value of Justice from the Compilation of Islamic Law**

*Perkawinan Beda Agama: Menakar Nilai-Nilai Keadilan Kompilasi Hukum Islam*

This workbook was originally a thesis submitted tofor the Magister Program on Philosophy of Islamic Law at the School of Postgraduate Studies, IAIN Antasari Banjarmasin. Karsayuda’s intention is to fill the gap in the study ofexamine justice in the context of the Compilation of Islamic Law. According to Karsayuda, the concept of justice intersects with the concept of human rights, therefore the study of justice remains relevant.

As it is originally an academic work, the book has a systematic structure. Chapter one discusses mixed religious marriages; chapter two discusses justice in the context of mixed religious marriages; chapter three focuses on marriages in the Compilation of Islamic Law; and chapter four is about the value of justice according to the Compilation of Islamic Law. The book concludes with discussion of repositioning the Compilation of Islamic Law and sharia in national legislation.

Chapters two and three describe the theories of positive law and Islamic law. Karsayuda argues that it is not possible to study Islamic law in an Indonesian context without referring to Indonesian positive law. One reason is that, according to the Compilation of Islamic Law, the marriage law for Muslims is under the jurisdiction of the religious courts. Cases on mixed religious marriages are problematic if seen only from the perspective of the Compilation of Islamic Law.

In chapter four, the main chapter of the book, Karsayuda discusses theories related to justice, such as democratic justice, social justice, and moral justice to analyse the prohibition of mixed religious marriages mentioned in the Compilation of Islamic Law. Karsayuda concludes that the article that forbids Muslims to marry non-Muslims is against neither justice nor human rights.

The discussion in chapter four is problematic. It appears that the book has a mission to defend the Compilation of Islamic Law. This position is a shortcoming, as it prevents Karsayuda from assuming a neutral, more considered academic perspective.
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